(1) (4) SINGLE BENCH

CF 1002

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION (S) NO. 2073 /2006

PETITIONER

Rohit Kumar Rathiya, Son of Shri Masat Ram Rathiya, Aged about 37 years, Assistant Teacher, Primary School Ganjaipali, Block Dharamjaigarh, District Raigarh (C.G.)

P.R. No. 7/9/06 Presented by Ashwani Shukla dated 8/12/06

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh, Through the Secretary, Department of Education, Mantralaya, D.K.S Bhawan Raipur (C.G.).

RECEIVED COPY 8/11/06 CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

2. The Commissioner, Tribal Welfare Department Raipur, Chhattisgarh.

3. The Assistant Commissioner, Tribal Welfare Department, Head Office –Raigarh (C.G.).

4. The District Coordinator, Tribal Welfare Department, Head Office-Raigarh, District Raigarh (C.G.).

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT IN NATURE OF MANDAMUS, CERTIORARY OR ANY OTHER WRIT OF LIKE NATURE

XI-HC-22

(18) (19)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.(S)No.7073 सन् 2006

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	19.12.2006 Mr. Ashwini Shukla, counsel for the petitioners. Mrs. Anju Ahuja, Deputy Government Advocate for the respondents/State. With the consent of learned counsel for the parties, the matter is heard finally and disposed of. Common order dictated in W.P.(s) No. 7072/2006, passed separately. **Sd/-** **Satish K. Agnihotri** **Judge**	

Bablu

(पीछे देखिये)

(20)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

SINGLE BENCH : HON'BLE MR. SATISH K. AGNIHOTRI, J.

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO. 7072 OF 2006

Petitioner : Navin Chand Sai Paikara

versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.7073 OF 2006

Petitioner : Rohit Kumar Rathiya

versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.7074 OF 2006

Petitioner : Rajnand Kumar Paikara

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

Present : Mr. Ashwini Shukla counsel for the petitioners.
Mrs. Anju Ahuja, Deputy Government Advocate for the respondents/State

---

ORDER

(Passed on 19th Day of December, 2006)

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners as also for the State/respondents jointly submit that the facts and

question of law involved in the present batch of writ petitions are squarely covered by the decision of this Court in the case of ***Yashwant Kumar Bharadwaj*** vs. ***Municipal Corporation, Durg and another***[1].

2. For the aforementioned reasons, the writ petitions are disposed of in terms of the decision of this Court in the matter of *Yashwant Kumar Bharadwaj* (Supra), subject to verification of the fact as to whether the petitioners have acquired B.T.I. Certificates at their own expenses as per the circulars dated 24-12-1998 and 1-3-1999 and further whether they have been paid two advance increments or not. No order as to costs.

3. A copy of this order be placed on the record of the connected writ petitions.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

---

1 2006 (II) MPJR-CG 96

Bablu